

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA GREENVILLE DIVISION

MILDRED A. WILSON,		§	
		§	
Plaintiff,		§	
		§	
VS.		§	CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:04-1437-HFF-WMC
GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY,		§	
		§	
		§	
	Defendant.	§	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

This is a civil rights action filed by a former employee of Defendant. Plaintiff initially filed this action *pro se* but retained counsel during the pendency of the case. The matter is before the Court for review of the report and recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge in which he suggests that the Court grant Defendant's motion for summary judgment. The Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court, and the recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *See Matthews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may

6:04-cv-01437-HFF Date Filed 08/15/05 Entry Number 22 Page 2 of 2

accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or

recommit the matter with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed his Report on July 13, 2005, and Plaintiff failed to file any

objections to the Report. In the absence of such objections, the Court is not required to give any

explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir.

1983). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841,

845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standards

set forth above, the Court adopts the report and incorporates it herein. Therefore, it is the judgment

of this Court that Defendant's motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 15th day of August, 2005, in Spartanburg, South Carolina.

s/ Henry F. Floyd

HENRY F. FLOYD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2